“Coming together is a beginning, staying together is progress, and working together is success.”

Henry Ford (1863–1947)
1 Introduction

‘Goalkeepers are different!’1 Why do so many people, even football coaches, still perceive goalkeeping as something almost exotic that is difficult to grasp and therefore nearly impossible to comprehend, let alone coach and teach? The answer to that question is not straightforward. It might be due to a lack of a clear universal definition of the goalkeeper’s role within the team. Some people still insist that goalkeeping is just about making saves, while others say that the modern game requires goalkeepers to focus predominately on outfield players. This interpretation has even led some countries to officially change the position’s name from goalkeeper to ‘goal player’.2

It is the goalkeeper coach’s job to confidently describe and assess the role, performance and development of those they are coaching. Some also develop their own, more or less descriptive, goalkeeping philosophies and devise training methods from their overarching goalkeeping perspective. A few goalkeeping coaches eventually become goalkeeping educators and provide regional and national associations with goalkeeper-specific content, which might be based mainly on their individual experience. If only one person feels confident enough to talk about goalkeeping, others will probably actively intervene only in serious situations that relate to topics relating to the team as a whole. Such a lack of confidence or knowledge on both sides often leads to reduced communication between the goalkeeper coach and the rest of the coaching staff. As a result, the goalkeeper coach is often less involved in team-related discussions and goalkeepers themselves are less involved in team training. Nonetheless, developments in the modern game, which is the subject of extensive research and academic work, indicate in particular that future goalkeeping programmes would benefit from greater integration rather than isolation, and from trainings and simulations that take account of the actual demands of the game.3 UEFA’s motto ‘The goalkeeper needs to be part of the team, not apart from the team’ must be seen as a general guideline to a modern approach to effective player and team development. This does not mean that goalkeepers should always and exclusively work within the squad, but rather that all coaches must aim for the most effective way to develop all players individually but also as a unit. From a goalkeeper-specific standpoint, it is about finding the appropriate balance between individual training4 and group work to maximise the goalkeeper’s potential and ensure they can fit into the team at the required competition level. An important fact to consider is that the goalkeeper’s involvement in the game is dependent not only on the behaviour of teammates and opponents, but also external factors such as the weather or the pitch conditions, to name just two. So, if goalkeepers want to develop specific skills5, they need to practise in an environment that reflects match conditions, which can only be achieved by establishing a meaningful collaboration between the goalkeeper coach and the other coaching staff when planning and running the training session as a partnership.

However, before goalkeeper coaches and other staff work together, they need to understand each other’s roles. From a goalkeeper perspective, this can be achieved by providing coaches at all levels with a general understanding of the goalkeeper’s role along with clear definitions of the general and specific tasks that they carry out during the game. In addition, knowledge and understanding of the game needs to be broken down into key principles that must be considered as the foundations of successful goalkeeping.

If goalkeeper coaches can also add knowledge about the overall team functions and team tasks to their specific expertise, this is a win-win as everyone can work together more effectively. Of course, it is important to consider that many football cultures are not used to actively involving the goalkeeper coach in team-related discussions yet, but that does not mean that they would not benefit from it.

To accelerate this process and support the UEFA goalkeeping coach education programme, a major source of misunderstandings and misinterpretations needs to be addressed. Despite the introduction of a ‘reality-based learning philosophy’ and the revised UEFA Coaching Convention, which already support the UEFA Goalkeeper A and B courses, the approach to goalkeeping-specific course content is still very different throughout Europe and between national associations. There is, surprisingly, often little common ground when it comes to describing technical and tactical specifics, which should actually be universal. One of the main responsibilities of UEFA’s goalkeeping coach education programme is to guarantee a shared minimum educational standard that enables coaching qualifications to be acknowledged throughout UEFA’s territory. This can only be achieved if generic references – objective, reliable, globally acceptable and applicable to all cultures, ages and genders – are established.

Existing documents, such as the syllabuses of the UEFA GK A and B diplomas, not only cover goalkeeper-specific content but also promote the philosophy of ‘thinking from the game’ and it is only through an emphasis on the overall goalkeeping programme can the effective integration of the goalkeeper within the team and the goalkeeper coach within the staff be ensured.

And yet, many coaches still seem to lack a clear understanding of exactly how the goalkeeper’s role is embedded within the team function and how such a holistic development approach can be applied. This document, which sets out the requirements of goalkeeping in the modern game and takes general match principles into account, has been drafted by UEFA’s Technical Development Unit with a view to improving understanding and increasing support for teams and their coaching staff. This will enable us to define a clear and logical approach to the general rules of goalkeeping, paving the way for coaches around the world to generate sustainable, forward-thinking training methodologies and seminal coach education programmes with a lasting impact on coaches and players.

---

1 ‘Goalkeeping’ and ‘goalkeeping’ and related vocabulary should always be understood as referring to athletes and coaches of all genders.
2 The term ‘goalkeeper’ traditionally refers mainly to defensive tasks whereas the term ‘goal player’ is more neutral as it includes attacking tasks as well. The same principle applies to the term ‘goalkeeping coach’. It will be important to observe the evolution of such terminology in the coming years.
3 Further information can be found using the link in the reference list at the end of the document.
4 UEFA Goalkeeper A and B diplomas, not only cover goalkeeper-specific content but also promote the philosophy of ‘thinking from the game’ and it is only through an emphasis on the effective integration of the goalkeeper within the team and the goalkeeper coach within the staff that coaching will be acknowledged throughout UEFA’s territory. This can only be achieved if generic references – objective, reliable, globally acceptable and applicable to all cultures, ages and genders – are established.
5 The term ‘skill’ needs to be differentiated from the term ‘technique’ within the football setting. According to Lennox, Rayfield and Steffen (2006), “technique is the ability to perform a physical task, whereas skill is the ability to perform a task in a game setting”. From an academic point of view, McMorris (2014) defines skill as “the consistent production of goal-oriented movements, which are learned and specific to the task”.

---

6 Liverpool FC goalkeeper Bruce Grobbelaar joking with the fans at Anfield, April 1988. Source: Getty.
7 England goalkeeper Mary Earps and captain Leah Williamson celebrate their semi-final win v Sweden - UEFA Women's EURO 2022. Source: Getty.
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2 Evolution of goalkeeping

‘You have to know the past, to understand the present’
Carl Sagan (1934–96).

This famous quotation applies when discussing how goalkeeping and its coaching has evolved over time and why it will continue to do so.

Goalkeepers were officially introduced in the game in 1871, when the rules of football were amended to allow one dedicated player to handle the ball for the protection of his goal only. However, the game was very different back then, with distinct tactical formations and even different pitch markings (Figure 1).

Over the next six decades, the rules for the goalkeeper’s position were regularly amended. Eventually, in 1931, it was decided that goalkeepers could handle the ball for any purposes within their own penalty boxes, and that they would also be allowed to take up to four steps while carrying it. During football’s early days, training methods had not yet been standardised, so players mainly developed by gaining experience in matches and adapting their own strategies and behaviour to the styles, strengths and weaknesses of their opponents.

The introduction of international competitions, such as the World Cup, had a massive influence on football’s natural evolution, as it gave teams with different cultural and tactical backgrounds the chance to face each other and also forced them to adapt. Although this process was brought to a halt by WWII, it experienced a significant revival during the 1950s, not only because football became more professional worldwide, but also thanks to the foundation of UEFA. The European governing body’s subsequent establishment of competitions throughout the continent enabled not only national teams but also clubs to regularly challenge each other in a structured competitive format.

On account of the increased professionalisation, demand for improved performance grew and gave new meaning to formal coaching, which until then had been mostly based on trial and error. Literature on training and goalkeeping was published and a new academic field, sports science, emerged. Its impact on football training became clear when coaches started to apply the innovative principle of periodisation to the sport.10

Specific goalkeeper training started to become a regular feature around the 1970s, roughly a hundred years after the position had been formally established. It was mainly the head coach, and later the assistant coach, who dedicated specific time to providing some drills for the goalkeepers, usually before or after the team training session. These tended to be very repetitive physical exercises of goalkeeper-specific movements focusing on load and intensity rather than on game context. Consequently, while goalkeepers may have become fitter, their tactical awareness and goalkeeping skills mainly improved through game experience rather than through specific training routines. However, it would be unfair to judge the choice of training content in former times in the light of our current knowledge. In fact, the constructive intention to work with goalkeepers specifically using exercises that were related to their position should be appreciated, as it constituted a turning point in the evolution of football coaching.

The goalkeepers themselves often determined the content and the progression of the exercises, whereas the coach’s duty and responsibility (whether it was the head or assistant coach) was limited to delivering the exercises in the way the goalkeepers expected.

This approach obviously forced goalkeepers to think deeply about their own position. They started to break down technical details from the game with the aim of turning them into specific exercises that could then be practised during the week’s training sessions. This created a player mentality of enormous personal responsibility, yet on the other hand also led to the perception that goalkeeper coaching was specific and ‘very individual’ and that only the ‘expert’ could really handle it. This pushed clubs and coaches to appoint specialists, and the goalkeeper coach was born.

From the 1980s and through the 1990s, goalkeeper coaching eventually became a profession in its own right and was mainly practised by former goalkeepers. They were usually recruited on the basis of:

• Their competence: Experience as a goalkeeper was the main prerequisite as it automatically implied position-specific knowledge.

• An ad hoc selection process: Due to a lack of formal job descriptions and recruitment procedures, most goalkeeper coaches were hired based on personal relationships with club officials.

However, no goalkeeper coach education existed at that time, so goalkeepers and their coaches usually shared their experiences and views about the position and often decided by mutual agreement what kind of training routines should be performed during the sessions. Most of their ideas were based on previous, mostly individual and often isolated, training experiences in stop-stopping with the occasional drill for defending crosses. This collaboration led to a special bond between goalkeepers and their coaches. Training grounds started to mark out goalkeeper training areas, often a long way from the main pitch where the rest of the team was practising, and the head coach would simply call a goalkeeper in to join the team for finishing exercises, small-sided games or tactical routines. The goalkeeper coach often worked solely in the designated area with the remaining goalkeepers and hardly ever interacted with the broader team practice.

In the following decade, some specialists took things even further, setting up almost independent goalkeeping departments within clubs or launching creative business ideas. So-called ‘mobile goalkeeper coaches’ visited various different clubs each week to deliver individual training sessions without ever interacting with any of the clubs’ own coaches or watching any of the keepers’ matches. ‘Goalkeeper schools’, dedicated ‘clinics’ and ‘camps’ grew like mushrooms and spread all over the world. A new full-time profession thus took root during this period and, shortly after its establishment, brought about significant developments in the game.

The introduction of the back-pass rule in 1992 was yet another landmark and the first significant rule amendment since 1931. It was probably the change that most affected the profile of goalkeepers in subsequent years and increased the demand for quality coaching. Up to that point, goalkeeping was mainly about defensive tasks, but suddenly and for the first time in football’s history, the goalkeeper was required to be actively involved in continuous open play situations, when the team was in possession of the ball. Many goalkeepers struggled to adjust their skills to the new requirements and tried to survive by just clearing the ball as far away from their own penalty box as possible.

Minor amendments had already been made to the Laws of the Game in the 1980s to deal with time-wasting techniques used by goalkeepers. Then, in 1997, the back-pass rule was extended to cover throw-ins by the goalkeeper’s teammates and time-wasting was further restricted with the introduction of the six-second rule.

Liverpool FC assistant coach Ronnie Moran working on goalkeeping drills with Ray Clemence in March 1977. Source: Getty

Figure 1: FA Cup Final 1901, Tottenham Hotspur v Sheffield United. Source: Getty

6 Further detailed information can be found through the link provided in the References section at the end of the document.

7 Modern-day pitch markings finally came into being in 1902, with an added halfway line, goal areas, penalty areas and a penalty spot as it is known today. The penalty arc was added in 1937.

8 From 1850 to 1920, coaching knowledge about training methods was still evolving, with no universally accepted standards of best practices. Coaches did not share information or details about what worked and what did not. See reference: Kiosoglous (2013).

9 An ad hoc selection process: Due to a lack of formal job descriptions and recruitment procedures, most goalkeeper coaches were hired based on personal relationships with club officials.

10 The concepts of periodisation, training organisation, biomechanics and exercise physiology were the result of Russian scientist Leonid Makayev’s research in 1962. See reference: Kiosoglous (2013).

11 Minor amendments had already been made to the Laws of the Game in the 1980s to deal with time-wasting techniques used by goalkeepers. Then, in 1997, the back-pass rule was extended to cover throw-ins by the goalkeeper’s teammates and time-wasting was further restricted with the introduction of the six-second rule.
Evolution of goalkeeping

Over the past two decades, teams have established more efficient attacking strategies (e.g. focusing on one-touch finishing and fewer distance shots), new technologies have developed balls that enable less predictable trajectories for the goalkeeper, and new football pitches have surfaces that make even quicker passing sequences possible during the game, to name but a few. This in turn intensified a unique exchange of knowledge about tactics and the application of training methodologies between cultures. The impact was huge.

At the same time, new competition formats, such as the Premier League and the UEFA Champions League, created unprecedented revenues, causing technicians to think hard about new opportunities to gain a marginal competitive advantage. Over the past two decades, teams have established more efficient attacking strategies (e.g. focusing on one-touch finishing and fewer distance shots), new technologies have developed balls that enable less predictable trajectories for the goalkeeper, and new football pitches have surfaces that make even quicker passing sequences possible during the game, to name but a few changes. Such developments have significantly affected goalkeeping and led to different physical, cognitive, technical and behavioural requirements.

A new and extremely inquisitive generation of goalkeeper coaches, sports scientists and match performance analysts has emerged and shown increased interest in understanding how goalkeepers can be developed effectively using a holistic approach and optimising their role within the team. Literature featuring descriptive coaching guidelines about certain goalkeeping techniques was no longer considered conclusive enough and so research activities shifted towards specific, interactive tactical behaviour that was dependent on the actions and decision-making of other players, both teammates and opponents.

The same period, additional technological developments, such as the use of event and tracking data for game analysis purposes, accelerated this process and provided alternative explanations and interpretations. For the first time in football history, non-goalkeeping experts also tried to find performance patterns and used objective evidence to underpin their arguments, which ran contrary to the opinion-based culture of traditional goalkeeper coaching. For coaches looking for areas of improvement, this evidence was seen as vital to understanding the actual requirements of the game for goalkeepers. An adjustment to the short goal kick rule in 2019 again demonstrated that even a slight amendment to the game’s laws could cause a widespread change of on-pitch behaviour and, therefore, another evolutionary shift.

Since the rule was implemented, all major football leagues (and probably even other non-famous ones) have seen a significant increase in the tactic of building from the back by using short goal kicks.

As goalkeepers have traditionally been the players to take goal kicks, they must be considered a fundamental component of such team goal kick strategies. However, initial data from 2019/20 (the first season that featured the new goal kick rule) suggests that playing more short goal kicks also leads to conceding slightly more high-quality chances. This would confirm that, despite trying to make use of the short goal kick to build from the back (Figure 2), many teams failed to do so effectively and ended up facing more shots on target against them than they had the previous season.

As seen in the past, adapting to a rule change and finding ways of using it effectively is usually a slow process. At the outset, forward-thinking coaches can achieve a competitive advantage until everybody else has adjusted to the new context. Thus the time spent on the training ground should be used effectively and, when applicable, also collectively.

Head coaches, goalkeeper coaches and other staff members might have started far apart, but with the emergence of evidence-based training approaches, they have moved towards each other and they will continue to do so as their mutual understanding grows.

Figure 2: Difference in proportion of short goal kicks between seasons, Tom Worville, 2020

Two central defenders provide options for Welsh goalkeeper Danny Ward to play a short goal kick inside the penalty area. The ball could also be delivered into the space around the halfway line or to wide areas. Turkey v Wales – UEFA EURO 2020, group stage.

A few forward-thinking head coaches and goalkeeper coaches did adapt quickly and integrated goalkeepers into their ‘in possession’ strategies. Moving from ‘surviving by clearing’ to ‘creating by building up’ was a completely new style that very few managed to assimilate when the rule was first introduced.

Then coach educators started to think seriously about the goalkeeper’s future role within the team. National associations gradually set up specific goalkeeper coach education courses and it started to become clear that the goalkeeper’s role was not isolated but deeply embedded in the team.

The game itself and the responsibilities placed on goalkeepers evolved even further. Football’s globalisation was accelerated by the 1995 Bosman Ruling, which suddenly opened up the international market and led to free movement between countries. This in turn intensified a unique exchange of knowledge about tactics and the application of training methodologies between cultures. The impact was huge.

12 All data by Tom Worville, presented during the StatsPerform Optapro Forum 2020 in London. However, more research is required to fully understand the long-lasting effect of this rule change, as technical observations at EURO 2020 identified some teams that successfully applied new tactical approaches to overcome immediate threats.
3.5 Game principles

To increase the probability of success, players must find effective solutions to any given problem they encounter during the game. Tactical awareness, skills and decision-making are therefore vital performance ingredients for all players. To ensure that players comply with the demands of the game, certain well-defined principles, need to be mastered and applied during play as illustrated in Figure 3.

**GAME PRINCIPLES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Team functions</th>
<th>Attacking (in possession)</th>
<th>Transition</th>
<th>Defending (out of possession)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phases of play</td>
<td>Open play</td>
<td>Set play</td>
<td>Open play</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team tasks</td>
<td>• Build up</td>
<td>• Create chances</td>
<td>• Finish on target</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If a team is attacking, it is by definition in possession of the ball, and can therefore:
- build up an offensive action;
- create a goal-scoring opportunity;
- achieve a successful attempt on target.

The defending team, on the other hand, is by definition out of possession and will perform team tasks to:
- disturb the opposition;
- prevent the opponent from creating chances;
- protect and defend its own goal.

3 Structure of the game

As established so far, goalkeeping should be defined as a crucial assignment that is deeply rooted within the team rather than an individual discipline. To fully understand how and to what extent goalkeepers collaborate with their teammates, we need to break down the game itself, starting with its prerequisites.

3.1 Game prerequisites

Football is played using one ball on a delineated pitch of specific dimensions divided into two equal halves, each with a goal. Each team must defend its designated goal and score by placing the ball into the opponent’s goal. The two competing teams consist of eleven starting players including one goalkeeper. Goalkeepers are the only players allowed to use their arms and hands, within a specified area of the pitch. The underlying laws of the game define not only the scope of what players are allowed to do but also the consequences in case of misconduct. The referee and assistant referees ensure that these laws are upheld throughout the match, which usually lasts for 90 minutes (two 45-minute halves separated by a break of 15 minutes).

3.2 Aim

The aim is to win by scoring at least one more goal than your opponent. Consequently, scoring goals and preventing the opponent from scoring are the two most crucial components of the game.

3.3 Team functions

From kick-off, the teams vie for possession of the ball. In the flow of the game, one team is seen as attacking, while the other is defending. Ball possession switches from one team to the other at various moments of play, called ‘transitions’, experienced by a team in two different ways: you either win possession or you lose it.

3.4 Phases of play

If the ball goes over the touchline, a goal has been scored or the referee stops the game for various reasons (foul play, offside, etc.), the game restarts with ‘set plays’, whereas ongoing and continuous sequences are specified as ‘open play’.

Austria’s goalkeeper Manuela Zinsberger with a successful interception v Norway – UEFA Women’s EURO 2022, group stage

13 The prerequisites are kept to a minimum, since we expect readers to already be familiar with the game.
14 Size of the pitch, size of the goals and number of players on a team depend on the age category of the competition as well as the region and the country in which the match is played. Detailed information can be provided by the national and local governing bodies that regulate official competition formats.
15 This can also include video assistant referees (VAR).
16 Match times may also vary, depending on age groups and competition formats for which tournament regulations may also feature extra time or reduced regular times.
3.5.1 Attacking team tasks

**ATTACKING TEAM TASKS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Team Principles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Build up</td>
<td>Direct play • Play as directly as possible towards the opponent’s goal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Possession-based play • Exploit spaces and create options to play together effectively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create</td>
<td>• Occupy spaces/positions in front of the goal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Deliver through balls, crosses and cut-backs into dangerous spaces for scoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Perform individual actions towards the opponent’s goal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finish on target</td>
<td>• Shoot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Head</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Use other body parts and options if shooting and heading cannot be achieved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.5.2 Transition to defending

During an attacking phase, possession can be lost to the opposing team, giving rise to a ‘transition to defending’.

**TRANSITION TO DEFENDING**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Description</th>
<th>Detail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>When?</td>
<td>Moment of game turnover and sequence of play during which the team loses possession to their opponent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Where?</td>
<td>Area of the pitch where the transition moment and transition phase take place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Who?</td>
<td>The player losing possession</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What?</td>
<td>Action plan and considerations to be applied once the ball goes to the opponents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.5.3 Defending team tasks

Once a team has reorganised into a defensive formation after losing possession, it needs to perform team tasks in defence to increase its chances of winning the ball back.

**DEFENDING TEAM TASKS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Team Principles</th>
<th>Detail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pressing</td>
<td>• Work together as a unit/team to force the opponents to make a mistake, or to play back or wide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Work together as a unit/team to prevent a deep pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Avoid exploitation of the team’s own vulnerable spaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.5.4 Transition to attack

If a team manages to regain possession by applying defending principles successfully, the players need to switch again from a defensive to an attacking mindset. This moment is called ‘transition to attack’.

**TRANSITION TO ATTACK**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Description</th>
<th>Detail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>When?</td>
<td>Phase of play during which the team gains possession</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Where?</td>
<td>Area of the pitch where the transition takes place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Who?</td>
<td>The player gaining possession</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What?</td>
<td>Action plan and considerations to be implemented once the ball is in possession</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ATTACKING TEAM TASKS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Team Principles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Disturb

- From a defensive shape
  - Continuously reduce playing space for the opponent by acting out of a compact team shape
  - Create deceptions (traps) for the opposition players and make them play predictably
  - Move as a unit according to the movement of the ball and the opponent

Prevent chances

- Prevent through balls, crosses, cut-backs and individual attacks
- Block through balls, crosses, cut-backs and individual attacks
- Intercept through balls, crosses, cut-backs and individual attacks

Defend the goal

- Actively block attempts on target
- React to attempts on target
- Cover the goal

ATTACKING TEAM TASKS

| Team Principles |

Build up

Direct play

- Play as directly as possible towards the opponent’s goal

Possession-based play

- Exploit spaces and create options to play together effectively

Create chances

- Occupy spaces/positions in front of the goal
- Deliver through balls, crosses and cut-backs into dangerous spaces for scoring
- Perform individual actions towards the opponent’s goal

Finish on target

- Shoot
- Head
- Use other body parts and options if shooting and heading cannot be achieved

ATTACKING TEAM TASKS

| Team Principles |

Create chances

- Occupy spaces/positions in front of the goal
- Deliver through balls, crosses and cut-backs into dangerous spaces for scoring
- Perform individual actions towards the opponent’s goal

Finish on target

- Shoot
- Head
- Use other body parts and options if shooting and heading cannot be achieved
3.6 Characteristics of set plays

As already mentioned, a game of football consists of open play sequences but also of set play situations when the game restarts from a particular location on the pitch. While team functions and tasks can be applied to both phases of play, it is important to highlight certain characteristics related to each set play.

Once the game is stopped, both teams have an opportunity to organise themselves appropriately before it proceeds. The players need to pay special attention to whether a restart requires a referee’s whistle or not. If no whistle is necessary, a restart can be carried out quickly. This possibility should always be considered by both teams, as it contains an element of surprise that could potentially have a significant impact on the outcome of the game.

Figure 4 shows features that are beneficial for the attacking team and can significantly influence the choice of attacking strategies. On the other hand, the defending team needs to be aware of its disadvantaged position in such cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SET PLAY</th>
<th>START/RESTART FROM</th>
<th>REFEREE SIGNAL TO RESTART</th>
<th>MIN. DISTANCE OF OPPONENT</th>
<th>DIRECT ATTACKING GOAL ALLOWED</th>
<th>OFFSIDE RULE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kick-off</td>
<td>Centre mark</td>
<td>Yes/whistle</td>
<td>9.15m/10 yards</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penalty kick</td>
<td>Penalty spot</td>
<td>Yes/whistle</td>
<td>9.15m/10 yards</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corner kick</td>
<td>Within the corner area</td>
<td>Yes/whistle</td>
<td>9.15m/10 yards</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal kick</td>
<td>Anywhere within the goal area (six-yard box; 5.5m)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Outside penalty area</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free-kick</td>
<td>Designated location on the pitch excluding the opponents’ goal area (six-yard box; 5.5m)</td>
<td>Yes/whistle</td>
<td>• If appropriate distance is required</td>
<td>9.15m/10 yards</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Direct free-kick: No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throw-in</td>
<td>Designated location on the touchline</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2.2 yards</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dropped ball</td>
<td>Designated location on the pitch</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>4m/4.5 yards</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To decide upon the right strategy, however, both teams need to consider the current status of the game:

- How much time is left?
- What is the current score?
- What is the team’s immediate priority?
- Which team task can accomplish this priority aim?

The current data from top European competitions suggests that roughly 25–30% of all goals scored have their origin in a set play.

3.7 Team organisation

Football teams try to win games by embedding the players’ abilities into effective team organisation. As every team needs a functioning balance between attack and defence, clearly some players will focus more on offensive moves, whereas others direct their attention primarily towards the defensive part of the game. Therefore, each position requires specific considerations that enable the team to function effectively as a whole. Synchronisation, mutual understanding and effective relationships between players are vital ingredients for success. Looking at the modern game, top teams are extremely versatile and manage to switch between different team organisations and game plans multiple times during a single match.
4 Goalkeeper’s role

4.1 Varying levels of involvement

In general, it is important to state that goalkeepers, like all other players, are always involved, because they do not remain passive, without any physical movement or verbal interaction throughout the game. We therefore need to differentiate between action with the ball and without the ball.

Ball involvement
In this situation, the goalkeeper is required to get directly involved with the ball (e.g. handling the ball; passing the ball) or with the player with the ball (e.g. putting pressure on the attacker in ball possession during a 1v1 situation). See Figure 8.

High involvement
This usually describes goalkeeping activities that precede or enable involvement with the ball. This includes actively supporting and preparing the execution of a particular team task, such as creating space or asking for a back pass, giving clear instructions to teammates when setting up a wall to defend against a free kick, or getting into a set position when anticipating an imminent delivery or shot on target. Understanding the game and the team’s detailed requirements are important skills for the goalkeeper during this level of involvement. See Figure 7.

There are three different levels of direct goalkeeper involvement:

Regular involvement
This occurs during stages of play that do not require the goalkeeper to deal with the ball and where imminent involvement with the ball is unlikely. The player is in observation and communication mode, positioned according to the stage of play and the required team shape so as to communicate effectively with teammates about tactical issues (e.g. player positions; shape of units; potential threats) or motivate them when necessary. See Figure 6.

Such involvement is imperative so that the goalkeeper can support the team in its efforts to successfully accomplish crucial team tasks, such as defending the goal, preventing attempts on goal, and building up when in possession.

Regular involvement can be defined as the goalkeeper’s default mode, and must be maintained throughout the match unless the context requires the keeper to switch to high or ball involvement.

It is important to understand that the effectiveness of the goalkeeper’s execution is crucial, as it can have a significant impact on the game’s outcome. It is therefore logical for the goalkeeper, like any other player, to decide when and how to switch between levels of involvement. If game situations require the goalkeeper to deal with the ball but they refrain, this will make it less likely that the team will complete particular tasks successfully or make them more difficult to accomplish.

The decision-making process is a key performance component of goalkeeping and it therefore needs to be discussed in more detail.
In general, any action taken by a goalkeeper follows the same basic sequence:

- **Observe** events on the pitch
- **Select** important information and compare it with previous experiences
- **Decide** how to act by reacting to situational cues
- **Execute** the action appropriately

This process applies to all three levels of involvement, as goalkeeping actions can be observed during the whole game. The ability to make the right decision consistently therefore has to be seen as a key performance indicator in goalkeeping. However, this is only possible if the player can automatically identify the correct situational cues. To avoid hesitation, indecisiveness and inadequate decisions, goalkeepers must accumulate as much game experience as they can. They need to feed their memory with a huge variety of cues but also with adequate responses that can be applied spontaneously during the game. Game experience and game-related training content therefore play a key role in the development of the goalkeeper’s skill, decision-making and overall competence.

### 4.3 Goalkeeping principles

The goalkeeper’s contribution during assigned team tasks usually depends on the state of possession, phases of play, course of the game and the head coach’s general game plan. The following detailed discussion begins with goalkeeping principles being deduced directly from general team functions, team tasks and team principles. These principles can be applied effectively during both open play and set play sequences. Figure 9 provides us with an overview, in which we consider the goalkeeper’s:

- **‘regular involvement’** as a standard feature that needs to be maintained throughout the whole game and creates the foundation for every principle discussed;
- **‘high involvement’** as a prerequisite for any potential ball involvement;
- **‘ball involvement’** as a mandatory requirement.

When discussing the transition from defence to attack, we need to take account of the goalkeeper’s specific advantage when holding the ball. This is the only time during an open play sequence when the ball cannot be attacked by an opponent. At that specific moment, the goalkeeper dictates the pace of the game and can decide at what moment and how to start a new attacking sequence. This is obviously important as it allows a controlled restart, similar to a set play and a potentially orchestrated attacking move. Quick counterattacks often occur in such transition moments when goalkeepers can make use of long throws or precise volley kicks into vulnerable spaces or to a player, while attacking teammates start their rehearsed runs once they realise that the goalkeeper is just about to get hold of the ball.

---

**23** This concept is based on American psychologist and academic Daniel Kahneman’s ‘System 1 and System 2’ model; further information is available in his book ‘Thinking Fast and Slow’ (2011).

**24** It is worth mentioning that, due to the goalkeeper’s unique position, the actions of the full pitch can be observed and processed most of the time, whereas midfielders, for example, are only able to process events from a fraction of the whole pitch. This consequently forces outfield players to move their heads more frequently in all directions than goalkeepers.

**25** Laws of the Game 12 allows the goalkeeper to hold the ball for six seconds.
Equally important are transition moments from attack to defence. It is obviously essential for the goalkeeper to know where the ball has been lost and by whom in order to immediately adjust their position to avoid an unexpected threat. If the goalkeeper were to lose possession in or around the penalty area, this might give rise to an immediate threat as the goalkeeper might not be in optimal defensive position, leaving the goal unprotected. In this case, the goalkeeper should choose between: putting immediate pressure on the opponent who has just won possession or returning to the goal to protect it as quickly as possible. It is imperative for goalkeepers to apply the principles of their position consistently game after game. Appropriate leadership and training to guide goalkeepers throughout their careers is essential for them to achieve sustainable success.

4.4 Developing the goalkeeper’s skill

The aim of any meaningful goalkeeper development programme should be to gradually increase and ultimately maintain the goalkeeper’s skill level. A fundamental understanding of the goalkeeping principles previously outlined is pivotal to defining such a programme, as delayed thinking and inadequate involvement will inevitably lead to inappropriate responses and poor execution of goalkeeping tasks. To avoid such unwanted outcomes, goalkeepers need to achieve the highest level of competence by the end of the programme and be able to apply it successfully to an ever-changing football environment. The four stages of competence shown in Figure 10 must therefore be applied to the whole development process. After many hours, months or even years of practice, goalkeepers can achieve the level of unconscious competence they need to consistently make quick, correct decisions despite facing complex tasks with multiple options, just like outfield players. Throughout the season, goalkeeper coaches need to compare the current competence level of their goalkeepers with these four stages, taking account of individual and team-related topics as outlined in the goalkeeping principles. Coaches should establish whether the competence level corresponds to the team’s requirements and competition level, and whether the goalkeepers are developing in line with the curriculum. Such sophisticated and demanding assignments clearly require goalkeeper coaches who are up to the task and can consistently design, deliver and adjust the content so that it is tailored to the needs of their goalkeepers and the team as a whole.

The term ‘no man’s land’ refers to a position on the pitch from which the goalkeeper cannot put adequate pressure on the player in possession or sufficiently defend the goal. A goalkeeper in this situation cannot influence the sequence of play and is therefore fully reliant on what happens between opponents and teammates.
5 Goalkeeper coaches and their development

As football has evolved, goalkeeper coaches are now fully accepted as an integral part of the coaching staff at all levels, both in the professional game and at grassroots/amateur level. While they are still considered specialists, they must also be perceived in the same way as assistant or support coaches. It is important for all other staff members to have a clear understanding of the goalkeeper coach’s role within the coaching team. This is the only way to achieve a meaningful and effective collaboration based on shared knowledge, experience and resources.

5.1 Goalkeeper coach: role

The main function of the goalkeeper coach is to improve the goalkeeper’s performance level holistically by recognising and understanding individual and team strengths and weaknesses, then applying appropriate performance-enhancing measures during training.

The primary aim is to steadily optimise the goalkeeper’s contribution to the team’s overall performance and thereby to match outcomes. This is the starting point for collaboration between the goalkeeper coach, the head coach and all assistant coaches, both on and off the pitch.

5.2 Goalkeeper coach: general tasks

The primary reference for the goalkeeper coach’s tasks is the profile of the team, its players and its goalkeepers. The coaching process should be adjusted to the goalkeepers’ abilities and must be based on individual needs within the team at any specific development stage.

Listed below are the general tasks that the goalkeeper coach is required to fulfil:

1. Evaluate the goalkeeper’s performance in terms of their role during matches and training sessions.
2. Work effectively and efficiently with all staff to ensure the goalkeepers’ needs are catered for.
4. Connect and cooperate effectively with the goalkeepers.

5.3 Goalkeeper coach: specific tasks

To successfully accomplish the broad range of general tasks, the goalkeeper coach must take effective measures in the areas listed below in Figure 11.

**Figure 11: The goalkeeper coach’s general and specific tasks**

**GENERAL TASKS**

- Understand match performance
- Apply tools for performance analysis

**SPECIFIC TASKS**

- Communicate, plan and cooperate effectively with all staff members to ensure the goalkeeper’s needs are catered for, both as part of the team but also individually
- Understand the role of the goalkeeper within the team
- Apply goal-setting and talent management
- Apply knowledge about training, fitness and psychology
- Understand the environment
- Build relationships
- Apply reality-based learning principles
- Apply adult learning principles

5.4 Goalkeeper coach: competence

An accepted degree of competence is required to ensure the goalkeeper coach works efficiently and fits in with staff working at all levels. UEFA’s Coaching Convention applies the term ‘competence’ to the specifics of football coaching and defines it as: “... a combination of the practical and theoretical knowledge, skills, behaviour and values required to effectively perform the job of a football coach and all the tasks that this entails.”

It also distinguishes between football competence, personal characteristics and social skills.

For coaches to fulfil their role, they have to apply a range of skills to specific tasks or functions. A thorough knowledge of the game and a good understanding of the technical, tactical, fitness and psychological aspects considerably enhance when and how these skills might be used effectively. However, whether the coach’s role is in development or in high-performance training, a deep knowledge and understanding of the culture and the environment in which they are operating and of the people that they are interacting with are also critical to success.

Each coach will have their own personality and habits that have been acquired over the years, influenced by their own culture and background. They will also have acquired experience relating to the level at which they have operated. It would be of considerable benefit to fully understand how the coach’s own personal behaviours and traits affect the various people they interact with. Developing emotional intelligence to help build effective working relationships is another important step in increasing the overall competence.

Working in the high-pressure environment of professional football requires a different set of personality traits and skills from those needed at grassroots level. While all kinds of football are equally important, different operational fields require different methods, a different weighting of specific tasks, and therefore different coaching profiles.

All these skills, which eventually enable the goalkeeper coach to excel in their operational field and have a sustainable impact on colleagues, players and goalkeepers, require a dedicated educational process over the course of time. However, this process needs to take account of the coach’s individual performance, behaviours observed and measured by the coach educator so that the individual characteristics can be benchmarked against specified criteria.

---

28 Stages of mastery: some theories suggest a five-step process for developing expertise in any given area. For more information, see the link provided in the references section.

5.5 Coach education pathway

To understand the development of coaching competence, it seems reasonable to briefly discuss the fundamental relationship between the ‘application of knowledge’ and the ‘approach to learning’, as it is directly related to our aim. Figure 12 illustrates the general developments in both areas as can be applied to football coaches over the course of their career.33

The upper continuum, running left to right above the blue arrow in the centre, describes how adults usually perceive and use the knowledge they acquire throughout their lifetimes. The lower continuum, on the other hand, represents the development of personal approaches to learning.

If this model is applied to the reality of football coach education, it can be inferred that novice coaches with only a limited foundation of coaching-specific knowledge will be in the upper left, e.g. players who have just retired, career changers, people with limited knowledge but strong personal opinions. They are likely to start with a more ‘black and white’ mentality and will show little engagement, limited personal interest and mostly an extrinsic motivation in learning during coach education courses.

However, both the application of knowledge and the approach to learning can change significantly over time and can develop into evidence-based reasoning, critical thinking and a far more intrinsically motivated approach towards learning, eventually reaching the other end of the spectrum (right-hand side of Figure 28), which is preferable. This ‘knowledge is power’ mentality can be triggered by focusing on inspiring and relatable content to be merged with the coaches’ day-to-day work. Understanding the correlation between the application of knowledge and the approach to learning is therefore important when designing and running courses. Consequently, there is clear demand for developing goalkeeper coach competences and also enhancing their credibility. A specific goalkeeper coach education pathway, in line with and linked to the general coach education programme, has been developed within the scope of the UEFA Coaching Convention and now incorporates two fully established courses, namely UEFA Goalkeeper B and UEFA Goalkeeper A.34

To ensure that these courses meet the required standard in all associations, a number of measures have been established:

1. Courses are designed for goalkeeper coaches working at specific levels.
2. Adult learning principles will be applied as the basis for interactive learning on all courses.35
3. During each course, the participants carry out their assignments and tasks in accordance with the principles of reality-based learning.36
4. Courses, including the formative and summative assessments, comply with the current UEFA Coaching Convention.

5.5.1 Reality-based learning

To ensure that the reality-based learning philosophy is fully embraced throughout the process, the following structure must be applied, as it enables the learning environment and course content to be merged with the coaches’ day-to-day work:

- Residential meetings
- Online teaching and webinars
- Club-based practical work
- Club micro-group meetings
- Internships (coaches who are currently unemployed)
- Assignments
- Case studies/research
- Assessment

This structure ensures that coaches experience the realities of the job, which will help them to develop the knowledge, skills and personal characteristics that they need to excel at their particular level. By applying these measures, the lifelong learning process can be not only initiated but also maintained in the most sustainable way possible. During their educational journey, goalkeeping coaches will have the opportunity to embrace new theoretical knowledge and practical methods, and apply them directly to their working environment.

5.5.2 Stages of educational progress

On the following page, figure 13 provides an overview of the modules and topics taught at the various coach education levels. It distinguishes between general learning content (pale orange background), goalkeeping and football specific topics (white) and areas (pale red) that need to be emphasised due to their importance.
### TOPIC | WHEN | EXPLANATION
--- | --- | ---
**Knowing your audience** | Pre-event | When preparing a course, coach educators should be aware of or quickly identify any participants with strong characters and predominantly binary mindsets. The dynamics and effectiveness of the course can be better controlled by preparing groups, tasks and responsibilities accordingly and in relation to the students' profiles. This enables courses to take place without conflict and irrespective of the reservations of individual participants.
**Note**: Anticipate and manage potential conflicts.
**Clarity** | Pre-event | When handing out tasks or assignments, clarity is key. Tasks can be interpreted too narrowly, and participants get lost in unimportant detail; but they can also be too generic, in which case the required level of detail cannot be achieved.
**Note**: Tasks need to be clear and precise to avoid ambiguous outcomes.
**Listening and asking** | During event | Communication too often focuses on talking. Coaches also need to learn how to listen to others, how to reflect upon differing opinions and how to ask questions to examine topics in more detail. Especially in the present-day football environment, in which many people argue from extreme positions, such a skill can help the coach overcome prejudice and opinion-based discussions.
**Note**: Listening and asking questions are vital communication tools for the modern coach.
**Generalisation v specificity** | During event | Superficial statements like 'the goalkeeper should have done better' must not be accepted without adding a rational and logical explanation. What exactly should the goalkeeper have done better? What was the problem? Why did the goalkeeper choose this option? Why was it unsuccessful? Coaches need to learn to express themselves not only emotionally, but also rationally with specific descriptions and evidence to increase the goalkeepers’ competence level.
**Note**: Quality coaching requires clear and rational explanations.
**Feedback** | During event | Coach educators need to establish an environment in which feedback feels comfortable rather than being perceived as threatening or negative criticism. Providing feedback is an important ingredient to make students aware of their unused potential and guide them to a higher level. It is also an opportunity for educators to teach coaches correct feedback techniques. Cultural circumstances need to be considered when giving feedback.
**Note**: Feedback must feel comfortable, but specific and actionable.

Figure 14: Top five considerations for coach educators.

---

### 5.6 Art of teaching

Having established the relationship between knowledge and learning, together with the content and stages of the goalkeeper coach’s educational journey, it is clear that coach educators need to master the art of teaching. If they are to achieve their goal. As previously discussed, the keys to a meaningful learning journey are to get coaches hooked and engaged throughout the whole process, create a safe learning environment and encourage coaches to take responsibility for their own learning.

Coach educators therefore need to use a variety of effective interactive tasks during both theoretical and practical sessions to trigger their students’ critical thinking and encourage them to join in and share their knowledge and experiences in a dynamic, beneficial and respectful way. Coach educators should use interactive learning tools if they seem necessary to achieve a particular outcome during the session and if they are suitable for the students’ educational level. It is important for the educators to choose their tools carefully so that they are effective and productive.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CORE SUBJECTS</th>
<th>NATIONAL GOALKEEPER DIPLOMA</th>
<th>UEFA Gk B DIPLOMA</th>
<th>UEFA Gk A DIPLOMA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reality-based learning</td>
<td>What is UEFA? What is football? What is reality-based learning?</td>
<td>Analyse ➔ design ➔ train ➔ reflect ➔ adapt</td>
<td>Working in a senior coaching team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Why are you here? What do you expect from the course?</td>
<td>Development environment</td>
<td>High-performance environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role of the goalkeeper in the game</td>
<td>Understanding the decision-making process</td>
<td>Applying the decision-making process</td>
<td>Consolidating decision-making Problem-solving/finding effective solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goalkeeping principles</td>
<td>Defending ➔ Transition to defend</td>
<td>Transition to attack</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Match analysis</td>
<td>Observing the game</td>
<td>Observing ➔ Diagnosing ➔ feedback</td>
<td>Applying tools for performance analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Match performance</td>
<td>Being part of a coaching team (multi-role)</td>
<td>Managing the game programme</td>
<td>Managing all squad goalkeepers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>Rotating positions</td>
<td>Transition to the full-size goal</td>
<td>Practice design: realistic ➔ progressive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical</td>
<td>Fundamental goalkeeping</td>
<td>Developing technical efficiency</td>
<td>Developing and maintaining technical excellence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tactical</td>
<td>Goalkeeping in small-sided games: 4v4 ➔ 9v9</td>
<td>The role of the goalkeeper in the 11v11 game</td>
<td>Formation/systems/strategies set plays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiation</td>
<td>Girls/boys</td>
<td>Participation ➔ development</td>
<td>Female/male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal-setting and talent management</td>
<td>Defining goals and talent</td>
<td>Chronological age bias</td>
<td>Talent identification ➔ recruit ➔ goal-setting and goal-setting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emphasis on: fitness</td>
<td>Basic knowledge of the human body, introduction to the main physical components</td>
<td>Main components/energy systems</td>
<td>Maturation/growth issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emphasis on: psychology</td>
<td>Appropriate communication by using instruction, motivation and feedback</td>
<td>Development issues</td>
<td>Confidence/communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emphasis on: building relationships</td>
<td>Parents ➔ coach ➔ goalkeeper coach ➔ coach (two-way)</td>
<td>Interacting with other coaches</td>
<td>Personalities/behaviours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emphasis on: personal characteristics</td>
<td>Open mind</td>
<td>Critical thinking and reflection</td>
<td>Adaptability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table**: Top five considerations for coach educators.
6 Conclusion

As we have seen, a meaningful journey shifts the goalkeeper coach’s behaviour from mainly reproducing facts and a black-and-white mentality towards critical thinking, reflection and adaptability. Goalkeeper coaches who successfully engage with this process will eventually be rewarded by being able to influence the development of their goalkeepers, teams and clubs decisively and positively, and adjust their methods and decisions in cooperation with the general coaching staff and within an ever-changing work environment.

This document started with a brief review of the history of goalkeeping and goalkeeper coaching, followed by an overview of the structure of the game, the role of the goalkeeper within the team and the principles of goalkeeping. It then focused on the function of the goalkeeper coach within the coaching staff and ended by outlining specifics around the educational pathway and the goalkeeper coach’s competence development.

Although this journey started far back in the past and ended up in the present day, it will hopefully help readers not only to understand current requirements but also to correctly anticipate future demands and developments of the beautiful game. This should ultimately have a significant positive impact on the roles of both the goalkeeper and the goalkeeper coach.
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### Appendix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOOL NAME</th>
<th>CONCEPT</th>
<th>HOW?</th>
<th>WHEN/WHY?</th>
<th>TAKE CARE WITH...</th>
<th>INTERACTIVE</th>
<th>INTERDEPENDENCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flipchart</td>
<td>Small groups: 3-5</td>
<td>Short task 0-10 min.</td>
<td>Brainstorming. Questions: 3-5 min.</td>
<td>Ice breaker. Get to know you. Why are you here?</td>
<td>Ensure appropriate level/type of question for the coaches</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poster presentation</td>
<td>Small groups: 3-5</td>
<td>Varied tasks 15-20 min.</td>
<td>+ allocated time to produce thoughts. e.g. 15 min.</td>
<td>If you need a short time to reflect. Share with second group.</td>
<td>Clarity of tasks. Ensure the groups are on track. countdown.</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flea market</td>
<td>Small groups: 3-5</td>
<td>Varied tasks 30-45 min.</td>
<td>As above but: one stays to feedback and others rotate.</td>
<td>As above and if questions are likely to be asked.</td>
<td>As above and timings. Clear messages. Vary the person staying.</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City tour</td>
<td>Small groups: 3-5</td>
<td>Varied tasks 45-60 min.</td>
<td>As above. Walk and observe all the other findings.</td>
<td>As above and if likely to be discussion/debate.</td>
<td>Sentences and not bullet points. Clear and readable views/respect.</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stopover</td>
<td>Small groups: 3-5</td>
<td>Table tasks 60+ min.</td>
<td>Every 10 min. move clockwise to the next table and add thoughts.</td>
<td>If all groups involved, might bring slightly different thoughts.</td>
<td>Avoid replication. Might need prompting by tutors.</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town hall</td>
<td>Small groups: 3-5</td>
<td>Table tasks 60+ min.</td>
<td>Each group presents to all other groups from their own table.</td>
<td>To let them experience presenting to peers.</td>
<td>Avoid central table presentations. 360º walk and talk. Front screen as a reference.</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theatre</td>
<td>Small groups: 3-5</td>
<td>Table tasks; rows for presentation 60+ min.</td>
<td>Presentation from the front of the room. Lecture? Walk and talk?</td>
<td>To let them experience formal presenting. Being challenged!</td>
<td>Reading screens. Too much information. Text/graphics. No eye contact.</td>
<td>Good (task)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pair and share</td>
<td>Share experience 5 min. each/Q&amp;A 5 min.</td>
<td>Informal personal. Non-threatening.</td>
<td>Ice breaker. Personal opinion.</td>
<td>Waifffle: 5 min. to get your thoughts across.</td>
<td>Listening skills. Questioning skills. Communication skills.</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2-4</td>
<td>Same task in pairs: share with a second pair 20+ min.</td>
<td>Common ground? Contradiction? Varying opinions?</td>
<td>Ice breaker. Brainstorming. Set the scene.</td>
<td>When they have expertise in their topic/theme of discussion (interviewed tutor)</td>
<td>Appropriate level of discussion. Bearing the audience in mind (e.g. terminology, language)</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>